Case 1:23-cr-00054-JLT-SKO Document 37 Filed 02/28/24 Page 1 of 3

1	Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00054-JLT-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	v.	
14	REYES QUINONEZ,	DATE: March 6, 2024
15	Defendant.	TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto
16		
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
19	This case is set for status conference on March 6, 2024. By this stipulation, defendant now	
20	moves to continue the status conference until May 15, 2024, and to exclude time between March 6,	
21	2024, and May 15, 2024, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv).	
22	a) The government represents that discovery in this matter, including officer worn	
23	body camera, police reports, and other items have been produced to defense.	
24	b) Defense counsel needs the additional time to produce supplemental discovery to	
25	the government, including investigators reports. These reports are necessary for the government	
26	to review in evaluating a potential offer in the case.	
27	c) Defense counsel also requests the additional time to review the discovery, meet	
28	with his client, conduct independent investigation, and pursue a pretrial resolution of the case.	

Case 1:23-cr-00054-JLT-SKO Document 37 Filed 02/28/24 Page 2 of 3

The government and defense counsel have been in communication about a pretrial resolution of the case and need the additional time to continue those discussions.

- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 6, 2024 to May 15, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 2. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

Dated: February 26, 2024

23

24

25

26

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO

JUSTIN J. GILIO

Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ REED GRANTHAM

REED GRANTHAM

Counsel for Defendant

Reyes Quinonez

Dated: February 26, 2024

Case 1:23-cr-00054-JLT-SKO Document 37 Filed 02/28/24 Page 3 of 3